Translating Climate Futures Into Forest Management Guidance: the experience from British Columbia

Harry Nelson Faculty of Forestry University of British Columbia Vancouver, BC, Canada AFORCE Workshop Paris, France February 4, 2014

Overview

- Adaptation and information requirements
- What kind of information and how
 - Science and management partnerships
 - Knowledge and uncertainty
- The Case Studies
 - The approach and methods
 - The outcomes
- Concluding Remarks

From a survey of the 5000 registered professional foresters in British Columbia from 2013: The biggest barriers I face in working to minimize the impacts of climate change in my forestry decisions are (check all that apply):

Response	Chart	Percentage
Lack of employer awareness of impacts.		12%
Lack of employer interest in minimizing impacts.		18%
Lack of personal knowledge, expertise or ability.		32%
No authority to make adaptation recommendations/decisions.		33%
Lack of strategic vision or policies that support innovation/diversification of practices.		43%
Lack of guidance, standards or best practices.		45%
Costs are prohibitive.		17%
My workload allows little time for this.		25%
No barriers.		14%
Other, please specify		18%

From a survey of the 5000 registered professional foresters in British Columbia from 2013: The biggest barriers I face in working to minimize the impacts of climate change in my forestry decisions are (check all that apply):

Response	Chart	Percentage
Lack of employer awareness of impacts.		12%
Lack of employer interest in minimizing impacts.		18%
Lack of personal knowledge, expertise or ability.		32%
No authority to make adaptation recommendations/decisions.		33%
Lack of strategic vision or policies that support innovation/diversification of practices.		43%
Lack of guidance, standards or best practices.		45%
Costs are prohibitive.		17%
My workload allows little time for this.		25%
No barriers.		14%
Other, please specify		18%

Need for Science-driven information

In the US, the USFS slow to implement CC considerations in planning and on the ground

- Lack of local information
- Absence of policy-driven mandate
- Reticence to address complex issue
- where magnitude and timing are uncertain; and
- Division of values among stakeholders

Littell, Peterson, Millar, O'Halloran. U.S. national forests adapt to climate change through science-management partnerships. Climatic Change. 110:269-296.

What kind of information?

USFS slow to implement CC considerations in planning and on the ground

- Lack of local information
- Absence of policy-driven mandate
- Reticence to address complex issue
- where magnitude and timing are uncertain; and
- Division of values among stakeholders

How can science/models help resource managers around adaptation?

- Vulnerability assessments that help prioritize risks
- Assist managers in understanding potential tradeoffs
- Relate actions to outcomes
- Platform for engagement and communication of risks

The Role of Modeling

 It allows us to "fast forward" through time and simulate under different scenarios future outcomes

2009

Modelling suite

A.K.A.

Our "time machine"





Developing the Decision-Making Framework

- To offer management guidance need models that link Climate growth management
- Impact of climate on forest renewal (regeneration)
- Impact on growth (productivity)
- Impact on mortality (disturbance)
 - tailor model to regional characteristics and conditions
 - allow for diverse responses
 - ideally scale across different levels and integrate assessments across different resource values

Case Studies



From Johnston and Edwards 2013, Adapting Sustainable Forest Management to Climate Change: An Analysis of Canadian Case Studies

Modeling Suite and Approach: Kamloops



Modeling Suite and Approach: San Jose



The Outcomes: Resulting Management Guidance in Kamloops

Guidance to Adapt Forest Management for Climate Change in the Kamloops TSA.

FIRST APPROXIMATION (June 7, 2012) which should be viewed as a continuous work in progress.

Based on:

Validating Impacts, Exploring Vulnerabilities, and Developing Robust Adaptive Strategies under the Kamloops Future Forest Strategy (K2-2011) – Future Forest Ecosystems Scientific Council (FFESC) Interdisciplinary Climate Change Adaptation Research for Forest and Rangeland Ecosystems.

And

Adapting Forest Management in the Kamloops TSA to Address Climate Change – The Kamloops Future Forest Strategy (K1 – 2009)



Forest Resources Management University of British Columbia, Symmetree Consulting Group Ltd., Forsite Consultants Ltd.



INCREASING VULNERABILITIES Timber Flows BEYOND the 100 year simulation:

Could be headed for a big falldown in harvestable timber.



The Outcomes: San Jose

 Increasing vulnerabilities High emission Current condition HADCM3 2020s 2050s ന-2080s N) 200,000 180.000 160,000 Mean monthly flow [m³s⁻¹] HADGEM No Climate Change 140,000 -ო High Climate Change Harvest (m3/yr) 120,000 -2 100,000 80,000 60,000 C MIRO 40,000 **m** 20,000 2 0 3 5 7 9 11 13 15 17 19 21 23 25 1 Decade Sep Mar Jul Nov Jan May <u>ں</u> HADCM3, current forest Historical Mean annual flow $[m^3 s^{-1}]$ Low emission High emission 4 ന-2 0 2060 2030 2040 20'50 2070 Year

The Outcomes: San Jose

YOU ARE INVITED



Concluding Remarks: the issue of uncertainty and limits of information

Guidance to Adapt Forest Management for Climate Change in the Kamloops TSA.

FIRST APPROXIMATION (June 7, 2012) which should be viewed as a continuous work in progress.

Based on:

Validating Impacts, Exploring Vulnerabilities, and Developing Robust Adaptive Strategies under the Kamloops Future Forest Strategy (K2-2011) – Future Forest Ecosystems Scientific Council (FFESC) Interdisciplinary Climate Change Adaptation Research for Forest and Rangeland Ecosystems.

And

Adapting Forest Management in the Kamloops TSA to Address Climate Change – The Kamloops Future Forest Strategy (K1 – 2009)



Forest Resources Management University of British Columbia, Symmetree Consulting Group Ltd., Forsite Consultants Ltd.

Ecological Landscape (Ecozones)	Associated BEC subzones	Guidance	Details
Plateau Transitional to higher elevations (e.g. M3dm, SBS mm ESSFdc)	Transitional to	Avoid conversion of Douglas-fir or Spruce leading stands to Pl leading stands	Manage for a majority of Fd, Py, Lw, Pw and other appropriate non-pine species. Restrict Pli to 20-30% of stocking or restrict to "acceptable" stocking status in silvicultural surveys.
	Encourage species diversity across the landscape.	On average across the landscape, maintain species civersity at natural / historic levels. Target warm lower elevation sites for increased amounts of Douglas-fir (e.g. 20-35%) where it is ecologically feasible.	
Plateau (cont)	Transitional to higher elevations (e.g. MSdm, SBS mm ESSFdo)	Maintain presence of At on landscape for habitat and species diversity	Avoid removal of aspen (where concentrated) through brushing and other activities. Make strategic use of stratification and free-growing stocking standards.
Wet ESSF	e.g. ESSFwk	Encourage tree species diversity as much as possible across the landscape	Spruce is still a good choice for regeneration – monitor carefully for increased weevil damage a lower elevations, where redoedar should be gradually mixed in.

Harvesting:

The harvesting guidance below is strategic in nature and as such requires thoughtful strategic planning directing well-coordinated tartical plans. Work will be necessary to identify the vulnerable stand types and make appropriate decisions regarding economics and timing of treatment. Incentive and other mechanisms not yet in place may be needed.

Ecological Landscape (Ecozones)	Associated BEC subzones	Guidance	Details
Dry Fdi (Py)	IDF and PP subzones too dry for Pli	Reduce fuels with commercial thinning and/or juvenile spacing	With well designed fire management strategies encourage vigor and health and reduce fire risk by significantly reducing stand stocking densities
Dry subzones with Pli	IDF with PI (e.g. IDFdk) and very dry MS (e.g. MSxk)	Targeted harvesting to address high risk stands.	Target stands more wulnerable to fire (with dimate change) as a priority for harvesting, retaining those stands that are less sufnerable fo future passes and other objectives.

Guidance was developed with inputs from practicioners that strengthened modeling that also helped in validation of outputs...



Concluding Remarks: the issue of uncertainty and limits of information

We find ourselves in an emerging paradox where while information is essential it is not the lack of information itself that is the issuewe either have too much or will never have it







October 2013

BRITISH COLUMBIA Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations

Assess: Climate Action Toolkit

Sample assessment tools

- PCIC Tools and Data
- Plan2Adapt
- <u>Future Forest Ecosystem Scientific</u> <u>Council Output</u>
- <u>Climate Change Vulnerability</u>
 <u>Assessment for British Columbia's</u>
 <u>Managed Forests</u>

Manage: Climate Action Toolkit

Sample management tools

- FLNR Climate Change Forest
 Policy and Guidance
- <u>6 Step Adaptation Planning</u> Process
- <u>CBT rapid action planning</u>
- FAO Climate Change Guidelines
 for Forest Managers
- FLNRO Tree Species Selection
 Tool

Current research addressing governance and enabling decisions

Project assessing economic instruments for adaptation to climate change

- Collaborative research involving the Provincial government, Federal government (CFS), licensees and other stakeholders, funded by Federal government (NRCan)
- Organized around three major risk areas: fire, forest health, and forest resilience
- Goal: identify promising instruments for implementation